 Read Message Back to: [Inbox](#)

From: "royal"
Date: 2004/02/21 Sat PM 02:03:30 CST
To: <views@cab-review.gov.hk>
Subject: A2(2) How 'gradual and orderly progress' should be understood

 Move To: 

As a Hong Kong citizen I feel proud to express my opinion freely with freedom of speech (Human Right) and expressing my view to the authority.

In referring to the statement of "actual situation" and "principle of gradual and orderly progress", which could be interpreted widely.


Firstly the statement purported to stipulate a method of selecting the CE who has the ability to cop with a peaceful and smooth transition period thereafter but it should not be indefinite.

Secondly the statement has no specific period of continuation, description to the satisfactory status of to "principle of gradual and orderly progress" and who is the appropriate body to govern the definition of "actual Situation" and "principle of gradual and orderly progress".

Thirdly the government is accountable to the citizen of Hong Kong, the government is to serve the society to strong economical position, maintain peace and environment and innovation. If we all believe those are the fundamental subject mater of the government functions then it is equally arguable that the CE is subject to universal suffrage.

Lastly, it has been a lot of controversial in discussing the nominated committee was free from bias and the namely democratic procedures was not transparent enough for those ordinarily citizen to comprehend.

I strongly believe that it is the matter of time before it to be solve, without spending too much time on the subject matter, I believe the existence of a government is depend upon support of the citizen, at the end of the day, legislative council is elected by the citizen and (you know that better then me) so wait and see, I believe tomorrow is a better day and I believe the rule of law or the universal higher law that would supersede our Basic Law.

 Move To:  Back to: [Inbox](#)[Help](#)