

E316



Read Message

Back to: [Inbox](#)

From: .

Date: 2004/03/16 Tue PM 10:21:27 CST

To: <views@cab-review.gov.hk>

Subject: A2(1) What should 'actual situation' constitute

Move To:

To whom it may concern:


Like the great majority of Hong Kong people, I am focusing on Hong Kong's fundamental and long-term interests. Therefore, like the great majority of Hong Kong people, I am deeply convinced that these interests require the maximum of democracy allowed under the Basic Law as soon as possible. We should be allowed to elect by universal suffrage our Chief Executive in 2007 and all our Legislative Councillors in 2008.

Are we less mature than the people of Iraq and Haiti? They are going to get full democracy this year. Why should we wait more than 10 years (after 97) to get the same? India has been functioning as the biggest democracy in the world for so many years. What have they got for so long that is still missing in Hong Kong?

If we are denied full democracy in 2007, there will be a lot of unrest. Our stability and prosperity will be jeopardized.

E. L-Tisserand

Move To: Back to: [Inbox](#)[Help](#)

 Read Message

  Back to: [Inbox](#)

From:

Date: 2004/03/16 Tue PM 10:21:39 CST

To: <views@cab-review.gov.hk>

Subject: A2(2) How 'gradual and orderly progress' should be understood

    Move To: 

"Gradual and orderly progress". It should have been attained in 10 years (since 1997). If not, the Basic Law is a failure! As the 1st July 2003 has proven it, we are ready **now** for democracy. We are willing to wait for it until 2007, but not any further. We should be allowed to elect by universal suffrage our Chief Executive in 2007 and all our Legislative Councillors in 2008.

E. L-Tisserand

    Move To: 

  Back to: [Inbox](#)

Hein